1 2 3 4 5 6 7 United States District Court 8 Central District of California 9 Western Division 10 11 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR 12-01178 TJH 13 Plaintiff, 14 ν. Order 15 MANUEL BELTRAN-HIGUERA, 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 The Court has considered Defendant's motion for a sentence reduction pursuant 20 to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 782 to the United States Sentencing 21 Guidelines Manual § 2D1.1 (2014) ("USSG"), together with the moving papers. 22 Sentencing reduction, pursuant to Amendment 782, only applies to drug sentences 23 calculated using USSG § 2D1.1. Here, however, Defendant's non-drug offenses were 24 calculated under a different Guideline range than USSG § 2D1.1. Therefore, Defendant 25 is not entitled to sentence reduction. 26 Because there is no statutory or constitutional right to counsel for a § 3582(c) 27 motion, and because Defendant's motion lacks merit, the Court declines to appoint 28

counsel. See United States v. Richardson, 569 Fed. Appx. 504, 504-05 (9th Cir. 2014). Accordingly, It is Ordered that Defendant's motion for sentence reduction be, and hereby is, Denied. Date: November 2, 2015 Terry J. Hatter, Ar. Senior United States District Judge